## A Consideration of the Q-e Scheme. IV. A Theoretical Approach to Price-Alfrey's Polarity Term

By Nariyoshi Kawabata, Takayuki Fueno, Teiji Tsuruta and Junji Furukawa

(Received April 24, 1963)

Part I of this series<sup>1)</sup> described a revised pattern of Price-Alfrey's Q-e scheme<sup>2)</sup> which assumed the e-value of styrene to be equal to zero. In Part II,<sup>3)</sup> we tried to give some theoretical background for this scheme. We divided the conjugation-stabilization energy between the monomer and the attacking radical,  $E_{\rm RM}$ , into four terms by means of the following equation:

$$E_{\rm RM} = E_{\rm RoMo} + E_{\rm R} + E_{\rm M} + E'_{\rm RM} \tag{1}$$

Here,  $E_{\text{RoMo}}$  is the stabilization energy between the polyethylene radical and the ethylene monomer, and  $E_{\text{R}}$  and  $E_{\text{M}}$  are the increments in the stabilization energy over  $E_{\text{RoMo}}$  as the standard introduced by the substituents in the polymer radical and in the monomer molecule respectively.  $E'_{\rm RM}$  is the surplus increment in the stabilization energy introduced by the substituents of the radical and the monomer. We proposed relations 2 to 4 for the P-, Q- and e-terms:

$$P \propto \exp(E_{\rm R}/RT)$$
 (2)

$$\ln Q_1 - \ln Q_2 = (E_{M_1} - E_{M_2})/RT \tag{3}$$

$$e_{\rm R}e_{\rm M} = -E'_{\rm RM}/RT \tag{4}$$

The classical interpretation of the P-, Q- and e-terms is obviously different from ours, especially in the explanation of the polarity term. According to the classical interpretation,  $^{2b}$  e is proportional to the charge on the end group of the radical or the monomer. Both of the two interpretations correlate with experimental facts; therefore, some theoretical interrelation may exist between them. The electronic charge on the end group of the radical or the monomer seems to be introduced mainly by heteroatoms. Therefore, we tried to investigate the effect of heteroatoms on the Q-e terms.

<sup>1)</sup> N. Kawabata, T. Tsuruta and J. Furukawa, Makromol. Chem., 51, 70 (1962).

<sup>2)</sup> a) T. Alfrey, Jr., and C. C. Price, J. Polymer Sci., 2, 101 (1947). b) T. Alfrey, Jr., J. J. Bohrer and H. Mark, "Copolymerization," Interscience Publisher, New York

<sup>3)</sup> N. Kawabata, T. Tsuruta and J. Furukawa, Makromol. Chem., 51, 80 (1962).

The Effect of Heteroatoms on the Conjugation-stabilization Energy. — In Part II of this series,<sup>3)</sup> we proposed relations 2—4 for the *P*-, *Q*- and *e*-terms. Therefore, we would like now to investigate the effect of heteroatoms on the stabilization energy in order to examine their effect on the *Q-e* terms.

The conjugation-stabilization energy,  $E_{\rm RM}$ , was calculated by the following equation.<sup>3-5)</sup>

$$E_{\text{RM}} = 2\left(\sum_{i}^{\text{occ}} \sum_{j}^{\text{unocc}} - \sum_{j}^{\text{occ}} \sum_{i}^{\text{unocc}}\right) \frac{(C_{\tau}^{j})^{2} (C_{m}^{i})^{2}}{E_{j} - E_{t}} (\Delta \beta)^{2} + \left(\sum_{i}^{\text{occ}} - \sum_{i}^{\text{unocc}}\right) \frac{(C_{\tau}^{h})^{2} (C_{m}^{i})^{2}}{E_{h} - E_{t}} (\Delta \beta)^{2}$$
(5)

where  $C_n^j$  and  $C_m^i$  are the coefficients of the rth and the mth atomic  $\pi$ -orbitals in the jth and the ith molecular orbitals belonging to the eigenvalues  $E_j$  and  $E_i$  in the radical and the monomer respectively.  $E_h$  and  $C_n^k$  are the energy of the half-occupied orbital of the radical and the coefficient of the rth  $\pi$ -orbital

in this MO respectively.  $\sum$  and  $\sum$  imply summation over all the occupied (except the half-occupied) and all the unoccupied molecular orbitals. When the r'th atom of the radical and the m'th atom of the monomer are replaced with the heteroatoms Y and X respectively,  $E_j$ ,  $E_i$ ,  $(C_r^j)^2$  and  $(C_m^i)^2$  will become,  $(C_m^i)^2$  respectively:

$$E_i + \delta E_i = E_i + (C_m^i)^2 \delta X \tag{6}$$

$$E_i + \delta E_i = E_i + (C_r^i)^2 \delta Y \tag{7}$$

$$(C_m^i)^2 + \delta(C_m^i)^2 = (C_m^i)^2$$

$$+2C_m^i C_m^{i} \sum_{n \neq i} \frac{C_m^{\rho} C_m^{\rho}}{E_i - E_n} \delta X + \lambda_m (\delta X)^2 \qquad (8)^*$$

$$(C_{\tau}^{j})^{2} + \delta(C_{\tau}^{j})^{2} = (C_{\tau}^{j})^{2}$$

$$+2C_r^jC_r^{j}\sum_{q\neq j}\frac{C_r^qC_r^{q}}{E_j-E_q}\delta Y+\lambda_r(\delta Y)^2 \qquad (9)^*$$

where  $\delta X$  and  $\delta Y$  are the respective increments of the Coulomb integrals for the heteroatoms X and Y.  $E_{\rm RM}$  then becomes

\* 
$$\lambda_{m} = (C_{m'}^{i})^{2} \left( \sum_{p \neq i} \frac{C_{m}^{b} C_{m'}^{b}}{E_{i} - E_{p}} \right)^{2}$$

$$+ 2C_{m}^{i} C_{m'}^{i} \sum_{l \neq i} \sum_{p \neq i} \frac{C_{m}^{l} C_{m'}^{l} (C_{m'}^{b})^{2}}{(E_{i} - E_{l}) (E_{i} - E_{p})}$$

$$- 2C_{m}^{i} (C_{m'}^{i})^{3} \sum_{l \neq i} \frac{C_{m}^{l} C_{m'}^{l}}{(E_{i} - E_{l})^{2}}$$

$$\lambda_{r} = (C_{r}^{j})^{2} \left( \sum_{q \neq j} \frac{C_{r}^{q} C_{r}^{q}}{E_{j} - E_{q}} \right)^{2}$$

$$+ 2C_{r}^{j} C_{r}^{j}, \sum_{n \neq j} \sum_{q \neq j} \frac{C_{r}^{n} C_{r}^{n} (C_{r}^{q})^{2}}{(E_{j} - E_{n}) (E_{j} - E_{q})}$$

$$- 2C_{r}^{j} (C_{r}^{j})^{3} \sum_{n \neq j} \frac{C_{r}^{n} C_{r}^{n}}{(E_{j} - E_{p})^{2}}$$

$$\begin{split} E_{\text{RM}} &= 2(\sum_{i}^{\text{occ}} \sum_{j}^{\text{unocc}} - \sum_{j}^{\text{occ}} \sum_{i}^{\text{unocc}}) \\ &\times \frac{\{(C_{m}^{i})^{2} + \delta(C_{m}^{i})^{2}\}\{(C_{r}^{j})^{2} + \delta(C_{r}^{j})^{2}\}}{(E_{j} + \delta E_{j}) - (E_{i} + \delta E_{i})} (\Delta \beta)^{2} \\ &+ (\sum_{i}^{\text{occ}} - \sum_{i}^{\text{unocc}}) \\ &\times \frac{\{(C_{m}^{i})^{2} + \delta(C_{m}^{i})^{2}\}\{(C_{r}^{h})^{2} + \delta(C_{r}^{h})^{2}\}}{(E_{h} + \delta E_{h}) - (E_{i} + \delta E_{i})} (\Delta \beta)^{2} \end{split}$$

Therefore, when, by the use of Eq. 11, we separate the stabilization energy into four terms in order to investigate the effect of the heteroatoms, the  $E_r$ ,  $E_m$  and  $E'_{rm}$  terms can be given by Eqs.  $12-14.7^{\circ}$  Here,  $E_r$  and  $E_m$  are the increments in the stabilization energy introduced by the heteroatom of radical Y and by that of monomer X respectively.  $E'_{rm}$  is the surplus increment in the stabilization energy introduced by the heteroatoms of the radical and the monomer.

$$E_{\rm RM} = E_{r_0 m_0} + E_r + E_m + E'_{rm} \tag{11}$$

$$E_r = C_r(\delta Y)^2 \tag{12}$$

$$E_m = C_m (\delta X)^2 \tag{13}$$

$$E'_{rm} = -C'_{rm}(\delta X)(\delta Y) \tag{14}$$

 $C_m$ ,  $C_r$  and  $C'_{rm}$  are positive constants characteristic of a series of monomers having a same skeletal structure, in which only the r'th atom of the radical and the m'th atom of the monomer are replaced by various heteroatoms.

A Theoretical Approach to Price-Alfrey's Polarity Term. — In Part II of this series, 39 we proposed relations 2—4. According to Price and Alfrey, 29 the rate constant of the propagation step,  $k_p$ , is expressed by:

$$k_p = PQ \exp(-e_R e_M) \tag{15}$$

Here, the polarity term is the product of  $e_R$  and  $e_M$ . Further,  $e_{R_1} = e_{M_1}$  is assumed for the radical and the monomer of type 1. In these two points, Eq. 4 does not conform to the concept of Price and Alfrey. However, for each series of isoelectronic monomers having a same skeletal structure, in which a fixed

<sup>\*</sup> When the reference monomer and the reference radical are alternant hydrocarbons, the 1st order terms cancel after summation. Therefore, Eqs. 12 and 13 are free from 1st order terms. When the reference monomer or the reference radical is a non-alternant hydrocarbon, Eqs. 12 and 13 may not be correct, although such a case is of rare occurrence.

M. J. S. Dewar, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 74, 3341 (1952).
 T. Yonezawa, K. Hayashi, C. Nagata, S. Okamura and K. Fukui, J. Polymer Sci., 14, 312 (1954); K. Hayashi, T. Yonezawa, C. Nagata, S. Okamura and K. Fukui, ibid., 20, 537 (1956).

<sup>6)</sup> C. A. Coulson and H. C. Longuet-Higgins, Proc. Roy. Soc., A191, 39 (1947).

<sup>7)</sup> N. Kawabata, T. Fueno, T. Tsuruta and J. Furukawa, J. Chem. Soc. Japan, Ind. Chem. Sec. (Kogyo Kagaku Zasshi), 66, 836 (1963).

Table I. Revised Q-e values

			-		
Vinyl compound	e	Q	Vinyl compound	e	Q
Vinylidene cyanide	3.5	200	2-Chloroallyl alcohol	1.1	0.08
Methyl $\alpha$ -phenylacrylate <sup>9)</sup>	2.8	21	m-Nitrostyrene	1.0	2.2
N-Vinylpyridinium-fluoroborate10)	2.4	3.6	4-Vinylpyridine	1.0	1.7
Di- $\beta$ -chloroethyl itaconate	2.3	3.0	n-Butyl methacrylate	1.0	1.5
Methyl $\alpha$ -chloroacrylate	2.0	9	Vinyl isothiocyanate	1.0	1.3
1,1-Dihydroperfluorobutyl acrylate		3.0	Ethyl acrylate	1.0	1.3
Chloroprene	2.0	2.0	Allyl alcohol	1.0	0.15
p-Potassium styrenesulfonate	1.9	5.5	2-(N, N-Dimethylamino)-4-		
Acrylamide	1.9	3.0	vinylpyrimidine	0.9	2.9
Acrylonitrile	1.9	2.7	Methacryloxymethylpentamethyl-		
α-Acetoxyacrylonitrile	1.8	5	disiloxane	0.9	1.3
N-Benzylidene-4-methacryloxy-			Isobutyl methacrylate	0.9	1.2
aniline	1.8	4	2-Chloroallyl chloride	0.9	0.2
$\alpha, \beta, \beta$ -Trifluorostyrene	1.8	1.5	Allyl chloride	0.9	0.03
Methacrylonitrile	1.7	3.3	n-Octyl methacrylate	0.8	2.9
Dibutyl itaconate	1.6	3.8	2, 5-Bis (trifluoromethyl) styrene	0.8	2.2
Itaconic acid	1.6	3.3	Glycidyl methacrylate	0.8	1.5
Dimethy itaconate	1.6	2.1	n-Hexyl methacrylate	0.8	1.0
n-Butyl vinyl sulfonate	1.6	0.4	Methacrylamide	0.8	0.7
Acrylic acid	1.6	0.4	4-Methacryloxybenzylideneaniline	0.7	4.0
N, N, N-Triethyl-N-(2-methacryloxy			2-Fluoro-1, 3-butadiene	0.7	2.0
ethyl)-ammonium iodide	1.5	4	m-Bromostyrene	0.7	1.8
Methyl vinyl ketone	1.5	3.5	Isopropenyl methacrylate	0.7	1.5
Methacrylic acid	1.5	3.0	Allyl laurate	0.7	0.03
Acrolein	1.5	1.6	6-Chloro-2-vinylnaphthalene	0.6	2.5
n-Octyl acrylate	1.5	1.5	m-Chlorostyrene	0.6	1.6
Butyl acrylate	1.5	1.3	p-Iodostyrene	0.6	1.6
Abietyl acrylate	1.5	1.3	p-Chlorostyrene	0.6	1.4
Methyl vinyl sulfone	1.5	0.5	p-Bromostyrene	0.6	1.4
Phenyl vinyl sulfone	1.5	0.3	N-(1, 1-Dihydroperfluorobutyl)-N-		
Methyl thiolacrylate	1.4	3.0	ethyl acrylamide	0.6	1.2
Methyl acrylate	1.4	1.3	4-Chloro-1-vinylnaphthalene	0.6	1.2
Pentachlorostyrene	1.4	0.8	n-Amyl methacrylate	0.6	0.9 0.14
Chlorotrifluoroethylene	1.4	0.14	N-Vinylsuccinimide	0.6	0.14
Vinyl perfluorobutyrate	1.4	0.1	Dimethallyl oxalate	0.6	0.03
Tetrafluoroethylene	1.4	0.07	Diallyl phthalate	0.6	0.03
2,3-Dichloro-1,3-butadiene	1.3	25	4-Methacryloxybenzilidene-4'-	0.5	6
4-Vinylpyrimidine	1.3	6	chloroaniline	0.5 0.5	1.5
Stearyl methacrylate	1.3	1.9	n-Propyl methacrylate	0.5	1.4
β-Chloroethyl acrylate	1.3	1.8	3-Trifluoromethylstylene	0.5	1.3
Hydronopyl acrylate	1.3	1.5	t-Butyl methacrylate	0.5	0.6
Vinyl trifluoroacetate	1.3	0.03	Isopropenylacetylene	0.3	2.6
p-Nitrostyrene	1.2	5.3	4,6-Diamino-2-vinyl-s-triazine	0.4	0.06
p-Sulfonamidostyrene	1.2	4.3	Vinyl chloride	0.4	2.6
Methyl isopropenyl ketone	1.2	3.0	Sodium styrenesulfonate	0.3	1.8
Ethyleneglycol dimethacrylate	1.2	2.9	o-Chlorostyrene	0.3	1.3
Methacrolein	1.2	2.3	2-Methyl-5-vinylpyridine	0.3	0.05
Decyl methacrylate	1.2	2.0	Vinyl bromide	0.3	1.3
Methyl methacrylate	1.2	1.9	5-Ethyl-2-vinylpyridine	0.2	0.4
Ethyl methacrylylaminoacetate	1.2	1.8	N-Methyl methacrylamide	0.2	0.25
Nonyl methacrylate	1.2	1.7	Pentachlorophenyl vinyl sulfide	0.2	0.23
Ethyl methacrylate	1.2	1.5 0.09	Vinyl isocyanate	0.2	0.13
Vinyl fluoride			Vinyl caprate	0.2	0.02
p-Cyanostyrene	1.1	3.6	Vinyl caprate Vinyl dichloroacetate	0.2	0.01
2-Vinylpyridine Vinylidene chloride	1.1	1.8 0.5	2-Vinylphenanthrene	0.1	4
vinylidene cinoride	1.1	0.3	2- vinyiphenanthiene	0.0	-1

TABLE I. continued.

Vinyl compound	e	Q	Vinyl compound	e	Q
3-Vinylphenanthrene	0.0	4	2-Vinylmercaptobenzothiazole	-0.1	1.5
2-Vinylthiophene	0.0	2.9	2,5-Dimethylstyrene	-0.1	1.0
2-Vinylquinoline	0.0	2.0	p-Methylstyrene	-0.1	0.9
2-Vinylnaphthalene	0.0	2.0	N-(p-Chlorophenyl) methacryl-		
Vinylacetylene	0.0	1.6	amide	-0.1	0.7
1-Vinylnaphthalene	0.0	1.5	Ethyl vinyl sulfide	-0.1	0.2
p-Trimethoxysilylstyrene	0.0	1.4	Vinyl levulinate	-0.1	0.02
N-(p-Tolyl) methacrylamide	0.0	1.3	Bis (trimethylsiloxyl) vinylmethy		
1,3-Butadiene	0.0	1.3	silane	-0.1	0.02
$\alpha$ -Acetoxystyrene	0.0	1.1	p-Acetylaminostyrene	-0.2	1.3
m-Vinylphenol	0.0	1.1	$\beta$ -Isopropenylnaphthalene	-0.2	0.9
m-Methylstyrene	0.0	1.0	p-Methoxystyrene	-0.2	0.9
Styrene	(0.0)	(1.00)	Divinyl sulfide	-0.2	0.5
p-Trimethylsilylstyrene	0.0	1.0	N-Methyl-N-vinyl-p-		
o-Methylstyrene	0.0	0.9	toluenesulfonamide	-0.2	0.08
N-Phenyl methacrylamide	0.0	0.9	N-Vinylpyrrolidone	-0.2	0.08
Phenylacetylene	0.0	0.7	Isopropenyl acetate	-0.2	0.03
N-Ethyl methacrylamide	0.0	0.3	Vinyl isocaproate	-0.2	0.03
Isopropenyl isocyanate	0.0	0.14	Vinyl caprylate	-0.2	0.02
Vinyl ethyl oxalate <sup>11)</sup>	0.0	0.12	Vinyl pinonate	-0.2	0.02
Vinyl formate	0.0	0.10	Vinyl stearate	-0.2	0.02
Vinyl chloroacetate	0.0	0.05	Vinyl caproate	-0.2	0.02
Vinyl butyrate	0.0	0.03	Isoprene	-0.3	2.0
Vinyl propionate	0.0	0.03	$\alpha$ -Methylstyrene	-0.3	1.1
Vinyl benzoate	0.0	0.03	N-(p-Anisyl) methacrylamide	-0.3	0.25
Vinyl undecylenate	0.0	0.03	p-Dimethylaminostyrene	-0.4	1.0
Vinyl pelargonate	0.0	0.03	Phenyl vinyl sulfide	-0.6	0.2
N-Vinyl-2-oxazolidinone	0.0	0.03	Isobutylene	-0.6	0.02
Vinyl acetate	0.0	0.02	Methyl vinyl sulfide	-0.7	0.2
Tris(trimethylsiloxyl)vinylsilane	0.0	0.02	9-Vinyl carbazole	-0.9	0.2
Vinyl laurate	0.0	0.01	N-Ethyl-N'-vinylurea	-1.1	0.05
Ethylene	0.0	0.01	N-Vinylurethane	-1.4	0.06
Allyl acetate	0.0	0.01	Isobutyl vinyl ether	-1.4	0.02

carbon atom of a reference monomer (ethylene, butadiene, styrene or another neutral monomer) is replaced by such a heteroatom as oxygen, nitrogen, etc., the surplus energy term,  $E'_{\rm RM}$ , seems to satisfy the concept. For these series of monomers, Eqs. 2–4 can, respectively, be reduced to

$$P \propto \exp(E_r/RT)$$
 (16)

$$ln Q - ln Q_0 = E_m / RT$$
(17)

$$e_{\rm R}e_{\rm M} = -E'_{rm}/RT \tag{18}$$

Here,  $Q_0$  is the Q-value of the reference monomer of a monomer series. From Eqs. 12, 13 and 14, Eqs. 16, 17 and 18 can, respectively, be reduced to:

$$P \propto \exp\{(C_r/RT)(\delta Y)^2\}$$
 (19)

$$\ln Q - \ln Q_0 = (C_m/RT) (\delta X)^2$$
 (20)

$$e_{R}e_{M} = (C'_{rm}/RT)(\delta X)(\delta Y)$$
 (21)

Here,  $C_r$ ,  $C_m$  and  $C'_{rm}$  are constants characteristic of a series of monomers having a same

skeletal structure. As can be seen in Eq. 21, the polarity term may reasonably be separated into two terms,  $e_R$  and  $e_M$ , for such a monomer series.

$$e_{\rm R} = -\sqrt{C'_{rm}/RT}(\delta Y) \tag{22}$$

$$e_{\rm M} = -\sqrt{C'_{rm}/RT}(\delta X) \tag{23}$$

The assumption by Price and Alfrey that  $e_{R_1}=e_{M_1}$  for the radical and the monomer of type 1 also seems to be reasonable for a monomer series of the same skeletal type.

**Revised** Q-e Values. — On the basis of the copolymerization data collected by Young, <sup>8</sup> we calculated the revised Q-e values by assuming the e-value of styrene to be equal to zero. These values are given in Table I.

We also calculated the  $e_Re_M$  values as the

<sup>8)</sup> L. J. Young, J. Polymer Sci., 54, 411 (1961).

<sup>9)</sup> K. Chikanishi, unpublished finding.

Irl. N. Duling and C. C. Price, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 84, 578 (1962).

<sup>11)</sup> N. Kawabata, T. Tsuruta and J. Furukawa, Makromol. Chem., 48, 106 (1961).

products of the relevant e-values given in Table I. These  $e_R e_M$  values were compared with the  $e_{RM}$  values.<sup>1)</sup> For each series of isoelectronic monomers having a same skeletal structure, the average deviation of  $e_R e_M$  from  $e_{\rm RM}$  was about 7%. However, for other pairs of radical and monomer, the average error was nearly 35%. This finding shows the validity of our conclusion that the expression by Price and Alfrey is reasonable for a series of monomers having a same skeletal structure.

An Electrostatic Interpretation of the Polarity Term.—According to the classical interpretation,2b) e is proportional to the charge on the end group of the radical or the monomer. In fact, a linear relation was observed between  $q_R q_M$  and  $e_R e_M$ .<sup>3)</sup> That is,

$$e_{\rm R}e_{\rm M} \propto q_{\rm R}q_{\rm M}$$
 (24)

Here,  $q_R$  and  $q_M$  are  $\pi$ -electronic charges on the end groups of the radical and the monomer respectively. According to Price,12) it would be a reasonable speculation to write

$$e_{\rm R}e_{\rm M} = \varepsilon_{\rm R}\varepsilon_{\rm M}/rDkT \tag{25}$$

Here,  $\varepsilon_R$  and  $\varepsilon_M$  are actual charges on the end groups of the radical and the monomer respectively. D is the effective dielectric constant, and r is the distance of separation in the activated complex. However, there has often been proposed a different opinion that the electron transfer from a donor radical to an acceptor monomer, or vice versa, in the activated complex may account for the alternation tendency.3,13-18) For a series of monomers having a same skeletal structure,  $q_R$  and  $q_M$ can be expressed as follows on the basis of Eqs. 8 and 9:

$$q_{\rm R} = -2 \sum_{j}^{\rm occ} \delta(C_r^j)^2 e - \delta(C_r^h)^2 e = C_{\rm R}(\delta Y)$$
 (26)

$$q_{\mathrm{M}} = -2 \sum_{i}^{\mathrm{occ}} \delta(C_{m}^{i})^{2} e = C_{\mathrm{M}}(\delta X)$$
 (27)

Here,  $C_R$  and  $C_M$  are negative constants characteristic of the series of monomers. From Eqs. 22 and 26,

$$e_{\rm R} = -\left(\sqrt{C'_{rm}/RT/C_{\rm R}}\right)q_{\rm R} \tag{28}$$

From Fqs. 23 and 27,

$$e_{\rm M} = -\left(\sqrt{C'_{rm}/RT/C_{\rm M}}\right)q_{\rm M} \tag{29}$$

The correlation of the polarity term with the product of  $\pi$ -electronic charges (Eq. 24) seems to be ascribable to the relations 28 and 29.

## Summary

Previously, we pointed out the correlations of the P-, Q- and e-terms with the components of the conjugation-stabilization energy between monomers and attacking radicals. present paper, the effect of heteroatoms on the components of the stabilization energy was investigated. For each series of isoelectronic monomers, in which a fixed carbon atom of a reference monomer (ethylene, styrene, butadiene or another neutral monomer) is replaced by a heteroatom (oxygen, nitrogen, etc.), we obtained the relations:  $\ln Q - \ln Q_0 = (C_m/RT)$  $(\delta X)^2$ ,  $P \propto \exp(C_r/RT)(\delta Y)^2$ ,  $e_R = -\sqrt{C'_{rm}/RT}$  $(\delta Y)$  and  $e_{\rm M} = -\sqrt{C'_{rm}/RT}(\delta X)$ . Here,  $C_m$ ,  $C_r$ and  $C'_{rm}$  are positive constants characteristic of the monomer series, while  $\delta X$  and  $\delta Y$  are the Coulomb integral increments of the heteroatoms (relative to a carbon atom) in the monomer X and the radical Y respectively.  $Q_0$ is the Q-value of the reference monomer of the series. The e-values of the reference monomers were all assumed to be zero. opinions of Price and Alfrey that the polarity term is the product of  $e_R$  and  $e_M$  and that  $e_{\rm R_1} = e_{\rm M_1}$  for the radical and the monomer of type 1 seem to be not only convenient but also reasonable for a series of monomers having a same skeletal structure. The  $\pi$ electronic charges,  $q_R$  and  $q_M$ , on the end groups of the radical and the monomer seem to be correlated with  $e_R$  and  $e_M$  in such a manner that  $e_{\rm R} = -(\sqrt{C'_{rm}/RT}/C_{\rm R})q_{\rm R}$  and  $e_{\rm M} = -(\sqrt{C'_{rm}/RT/C_{\rm M}})q_{\rm M}$ . Here,  $C_{\rm R}$  and  $C_{\rm M}$ are negative constants characteristic of the series of monomers. The correlation of the polarity term with the electrostatic interaction between the charges of the radical and the monomer seems to be ascribable to these relations.

> Department of Synthetic Chemistry Kyoto University Sakyo-ku, Kyoto

<sup>12)</sup> T. C. Schwan and C. C. Price, J. Polymer Sci., 40, 457 (1959).

F. M. Lewis, C. Walling, C. Cummings, E. R. Briggs and F. R. Mayo, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 70, 1519 (1948).

<sup>14)</sup> P. D. Bartlett and K. Nozaki, ibid., 68, 1495 (1946). 15) F. R. Mayo and C. Walling, Chem. Revs., 46, 191

<sup>16)</sup> F. R. Mayo, F. M. Lewis and C. Walling, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 70 1529 (1948).

<sup>17)</sup> G. S. Levinson, J. Polymer Sci., 60, 43 (1962).
18) P. J. Flory, "Principles of Polymer Chemistry," Cornell University Press, Ithaca (1953), Chap. 5.